# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Massachusetts

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
VINICIUS GONCALVES DE ASSIS	) Case No.	19-CR-10190-PBS
Defendant	)	

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the
----------

- ₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

## Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
$\Box$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
$\Box$ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
$\Box$ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; <b>or</b>
$\Box$ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
$\square$ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving ris to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

<b>IV</b> B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a			
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:			
☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);			
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;			
<b>☑</b> (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;			
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or			
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.			
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above			
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)			
OR			
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.			
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:			
■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.			
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:			
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong			
☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted			
☐ Prior criminal history			
☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision			
History of violence or use of weapons			
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse			
☐ Lack of stable employment			
☐ Lack of stable residence			
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties			

### OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

☐ Background information unknown or unverified

☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

Mr. Goncalves, a citizen of Brazil, is 22 years old, and although he is a lawful permanent resident, he certainly will be deported if he is convicted of the RICO conspiracy with which he is charged. He originally voluntarily consented to detention. He now asks for release, stressing the unsatisfactory conditions at Wyatt, where he is detained, due to the COVID-19 crisis. According to the pretrial services report, he has asthma, although it is not clear that his condition is severe enough to put him at a greater than normal risk from the virus.

In his favor, Mr. Goncalves is very young, he has a job waiting for him if released, he has a supportive family, and no prior criminal convictions. The court finds that these factors cause him to overcome the presumption of detention. The court finds, however, that the government has met its burden on risk of flight and danger to the community.

The government has overwhelming evidence that Mr. Goncalves was a member of a violent gang, "Primeiro Comando da Massachusetts," and participated in three robberies within about a four-month period, from October 2018 through January 2019. He first attempted to rob a DeLuca's Market in Boston with another gang member, apparently armed with a gun; he then robbed a cell phone store employee together with others, again at gunpoint; and he and another member of the gang stole a car, again allegedly at gunpoint. At the detention hearing, defense counsel argued that the gun was only a pellet gun, pointing out that when defendant was arrested on the same day as the car theft, the police found two pellet guns in the car with him and his codefendant. The court accepts this argument as true. Defense counsel also argued that the crimes here were not as serious as the government says, because they were so reckless, and because the victims perhaps were known to him or to the gang. Even if the gun was only a pellet gun, howevre, and even if the crimes appear to be unsophisticated and unplanned, nevertheless, Mr. Goncalves' involvement with others who were affiliated with a gang in this alleged crime spree, the short time between the alleged crimes, and the brazen nature of them, all weigh in favor of a finding of dangerousness to the community. Further, Mr. Goncalves is facing a guidelines range of about six years in prison after a plea, and obviously more after trial, after which he will be deported, which supports a finding that the government has met its burden on risk of flight. While the court is extremely sympathetic to counsel's arguments concerning the health risks to those incarcerated at this time, and to the obvious distress of Mr. Goncalves' family over his continued incarceration, the court finds that the government has met its burden both on risk of flight and dangerousness.

#### **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	05/12/2020	/s/ Page Kelley
		United States Magistrate Judge